

Remote Work and Psychological Challenges - A new Strategic role of managers in Managing a virtual workplace: A Review

MAC. Sulaiha Beevi Athambawa

Department of Management, South Eastern University of Sri Lanka

macsulai@seu.ac.lk

Abstract

As flexible and remote work measures grow, scholars and experts are increasingly concerned with various features of virtual work settings. Yet diverse conceptual considerations and methodological approaches, along with partly divergent empirical findings, limit simple recommendations. This review brings a systematic overview of 50 empirical studies on psychological issues in virtual work settings. Results indicate that the move to remote work has brought about noteworthy psychological challenges for workers, composed with increased stress, anxiety, and feelings of isolation. Further, it reveals that an exclusive set of operative direction tactics to confirm team unity and efficiency, including creating structured and clear communication channels, which is the utmost significant feature for effective distant work, and executing consistent virtual lineup assemblies; exploiting collaboration tools and providing opportune response are main tactics to adoptive a sense of linking and position among distant lineup associates. Effective remote managers prioritize building robust associations with their followers. Executing cybernetic team-building events and distinguishing specific attainments can support enhance employees' self-confidence and drive, finally driving yield. Through leveraging knowhow to support and empower far-flung workers, managers can generate a optimistic work setting that encourages alliance, trust, and total accomplishment in remote work situations. Based on the review, scholars develop a research framework that includes a new integrative conceptualization of psychological issues in virtual work settings, along with suggestions for regularization and study designs that might further consolidate this growing field.

Keywords: Remote working; Psychology; Challenges; Strategic Role; Virtual Workplace.

Introduction

Remote work has greatly influenced organizational psychology, determining in what way workers interrelate with each other and their work situation. Remote work has significant psychological influences on employees, including increased pressure, anxiety, and feelings

of isolation, necessitating targeted interventions to support psychological health. Before the development of the engagement economy, some industries involved distant working and extended commuting, such as mining and construction, and mobile work driven by telephony, long-distance commuting, and internet access. Such provisions paid to fatigue, aloneness, and private interruption [10]. Circumstances supporting remote and online working encompass the growing access to the internet, smartphones and their connected know-hows, software and cloud computing advances, the increase of global online service providers, and the ease of conducting business and shopping online [47]. The momentous technological transformation linked with fourth industrial revolution know-hows [38] has reinforced working from home, distant working, and mobile work from sites with internet contact.

Attention in investigating the mental properties of distant employed was obsessed by the COVID-19 pandemic that enforced millions into work-from-home activities [20]. It signified a substantial lifestyle modification in working and living ways. This enormous move was unintended and executed without knowledge of the possible encounters linked through extended eras of working from home [24]. This embodied one of the most substantial changes in working preparations universally and provided occasions for investigators, organizations, and governments to measure the consequences of extended working from home provisions. Scholars have studied the critical matters that contain the influence on member strain and comfort [40]; the administration of the labor force and the effect on administrators [13; 19]; family and domestic challenges including work-family conflict [35]; and employee inspiration and engagement [16].

Subsequent to COVID, isolated and homeworking preparations continued to be implanted in organizational employ exercise, and it is vital to measure the emotional things of prolonged distant work for workforces, bosses, establishments, and households/families. Possible individual encounters contain separation, the distortion of work-life restrictions, enquiry, and existence on demand [47]. In turn, plans and policies that decrease the mental hazards are indispensable for administrations and policymakers as remote and home working is extended across all industries. This study affords an opportune, varied, and exhaustive investigation of the inner influences of inaccessible labor and the strategic roles of managers. In this study, several articles inspect the above matters and understandings across nations through reviews of workforces and administrators. Each article offers thoughtful ideas for policies to provision isolated employed and lessen its mental jeopardies.

Objectives of the Study

This study focuses on the necessity of identifying and understanding psychological issues in the remote working atmosphere and the active strategic role of managers that is related

to performance in a virtual work setup. Following research objectives were established:

- 1: *To identify the psychological issues typically encountered in relation to performance in remote work arrangements.*
2. *To assess the mechanisms that intercede in the connection amongst managers' strategic roles and performance in remote work.*

Literature Review

COVID-19 has obligated foremost effects on inhabitants and individual well-being [41] and a transformational impression on the prevalent adoption of remote working by both managers and workers [29]. Remote employment encompasses what is identified as telework and working from home (WFH). The European Framework Agreement on Telework describes telework as a “form of organizing and/or performing work, using information technology, in the milieu of an employment contract/relationship, where work, which could also be performed at the employer’s premises, is carried out away from those premises regularly” [17]. WFH is predicted to accelerate over the coming years as workers become more intensive on the interface of quality of life with that of work–life [33] and managers see the cost-efficiency benefits of positioning workers at home [34].

Such a notable shift in the point of employment may change the nature of health and well-being matters for many workers [30]; the requirements of companies to support worker well-being within the home [33]. Distant labor may affect both physical and mental health, ranging from musculoskeletal disorders, fatigue, and stress [30]. Instead, there is evidence that it can have constructive social and psychological benefits by improving personal temperament [4]. Literature specifies gendered differences in stated undesirable health effects on females compared with males when enforced to work from home [3]. Such discoveries suggest a need for attention to gendered disparities in the nature of health interferences where the home is the employee’s working atmosphere. In addition to that, rapid changes to the workspace advise that both present and upcoming adoption of remote working, united with the personal goals of workers for better quality of life [43], will necessitate companies to establish commitment to worker well-being. There is an important rapport between an employee’s mental health and administrative accomplishment [28; 49].

According to [6], in existing hybrid and virtual firms, there is a rising need for training and developing leaders in capabilities that are effective in telework. This kind of work plan has gone from being rather exceptional to a new way of working in labor markets global [7]. According to [48], 20.5% of global firms designated that offering more chances for telework is one of their short-range tactics, and remote job offers have enlarged compared to preceding years [1]. These changes necessitate HR authorities to re-skill and familiarize to diverse characters in the “new” working condition, particularly in headship [11]. In fact,

fruitful telework implementation has been recommended to depend more on how leadership is established than on the right use of knowhow [9;11].

The extensive acceptance of telework has introduced exclusive encounters that can impact worker performance. These contain indistinct work-life borders, communal and proficient loneliness, probable loss of implicit knowledge, and ergonomic problems [9; 46]. Also, [39] stated that teleworkers may face technological barricades and communication restrictions. These features can disturb teleworkers' performance and success, particularly for those unfamiliar with distant work provisions [31]. This could be challenging for performance indicators external task performance that could be particularly pertinent for administrations, such as innovative or contextual behaviors. In this background, thoughtful measurement of performance at manifold levels converts decisive. Subsequent studies [22; 23], they hypothesize work performance as behaviors or actions contributing to executive goals. At the individual level, this comprises four scopes: task performance (completing main work obligations), contextual performance (behaviors supporting the structural, social, and emotional atmosphere), adaptive performance (familiarizing to changes in work roles or situation), and counterproductive work behavior (behaviors destructive to administrative well-being). At the team level, performance incorporates shared task accomplishment, team participant behaviors that uphold the group's social setting, and the team's capability to adjust to changes [25].

Contemporary research highlights that administration in telework necessitates more than simply extending traditional leadership practices to virtual settings. As a substitute, it demands developing specific competencies to manage remote work effectively [2]. In this sense, [32] proposed that leaders must adapt their behaviors and develop new ones that respond sufficiently to a digitalized and geographically dispersed work setting. There have been diverse efforts to establish specific leadership competency models for the digital age [26]. In this context, the study has defined e-leadership as “a social influence process mediated by AIT (advanced information technologies) to produce a change in attitudes, feelings, thinking, behavior, and performance with individuals, groups, and/or organizations” [6]. When the focus is put on the spatial and temporal dimensions in which digital leadership occurs, digital leadership is considered as “social influence that occurs when leaders and followers are geographically detached, temporally asynchronous, and entirely interceded by technology” [8]. Thus, the leadership focus is set on its influence to the decrease of virtual distance.

As a response to this encounter, the virtual distance framework [27] suggests that leaders can improve performance in remote work or telework by implementing strategies that bring workers closer together. According to [27], organizations need to overcome operational and affinity distance for effective performance in telework settings. *Operational distance* involves challenges in technology-mediated work coordination and information

sharing, while *affinity distance* refers to the psychological and social separation that emerges from physical dispersion. Leaders might greatly contribute to both aspects by digitalizing the social process of influence. Beyond the development of specific leadership competency models for this context, research also needs to ascertain which is the empirical evidence about how traditional, digital, or digitalized competencies facilitate performance in telework contexts [32]. Lack of clarity about which specific aspects of leadership need to be trained and developed in remote and hybrid workplaces could lead firms to implement ineffective or less efficient interventions [5]. Despite several studies indicating that telework leadership, as in face-to-face work, is fundamental for individual and team performance [12], there is still no consensus about the empirical relationship between specific leadership competencies and performance in telework.

According to [36], Remote work integrates methods of work performed outside traditional office settings, including telecommuting, home-based, virtual, and dispersed work. It is molded by psychological, temporal, technological, and structural distances that influence communication, collaboration, and connection within organizations. Remote arrangements expand access to talent, eliminate geographical limitations, and support more wide-ranging recruitment practices. Employees must develop competencies such as self-management, digital knowledge and collective communication skills, to work effectively in remote settings [18]. Structural accomplishment in remote atmospheres depends on job design that nurtures autonomy, flexibility, and active use of technology [42]. As remote work reshapes organizational structures and individual experiences, it introduces dynamics that can both support and challenge mental strength and well-being, influencing stress, flexibility, and exhaustion in digital and flexible work settings.

Recent study conclusions for specific groups are designated as follows: according to [21], burnout and compassion satisfaction influenced professional quality of life of Greek mental health professionals. In addition, studies of Japanese housewives and part-time mothers have shown that parenting attitudes affect maternal well-being and self-efficacy [15]. Among teachers, professional boundaries were linked to reduced burnout in the Philippines [44], while studies in Latin America and Spain documented predictors of depression and anxiety during COVID-19. Overall, these findings highlight the standing of work-related, social, and cultural aspects in mental health and underline the prerequisite for environments that reinforce flexibility and well-being across occupations.

Virtual managerial support is vital in remote settings, as it can buffer psychological demands and promote positive outcomes. Managers who provide clarity, empathy, and the right tools empower their teams to thrive despite physical distance. Without such support, even highly skilled employees may struggle with motivation and well-being, finally impacting performance.

This study conducts a structured literature review (SLR) of the relationships between

psychological issues of different individuals in remote work settings. SLR is a inclusive method for collecting literature that meets specific criteria to discover themes, patterns, trends, or gaps, especially in human resource development [37]. So, this study will contribute to the field by thematically investigating the managers' roles in handling virtual employees and components contributing to performance in telework frameworks at both levels by discovering and analyzing the competencies presented in the works. As a result, for HR managers and practitioners, it could serve as a starting point for defining implications for future remote work leadership training interventions, helping to build flourishing and solid businesses and administrations [14].

Research Methodology

This study uses a systematic literature review approach to evaluate the effect of psychological encounters on distant working that will influence organizational activities. The review process trailed PRISMA procedures to confirm that the selection of connected literature was clear and reproducible. The data sources included Scopus and Google Scholar, resultant in the identification of 100 initial articles. The following stages were executed.

Duplicate removal: 30 articles were excluded.

Screening stage: 70 sole articles were studied based on title and abstract.

Suitability assessment: 50 full -text articles were examined.

Ending selection: 50 peer-reviewed articles were chosen for their significance to remote working, psychological challenges, and the strategic role of managers.

The investigation process was guided by keywords such as remote working, psychological challenges, and the strategic role of managers. The presence criteria attentive on empirical evidence, well- defined methodologies, and pertinence to strategic decision making of the firm. Articles not peer-reviewed, unrelated to remote working or lacking methodological clarity were excluded. All covered studies were in the English language.

Data Analysis:

The chosen articles were assessed using bibliometric tools like VOS viewer and Biblioshiny for keyword trends within the literature. Key insights comprise:

Publication tendencies: with an instant increase in attention. 60% of the studies were published after the COVID-19 pandemic era.

Keyword occurrence: remote working was the most usually occurring term, followed by psychological issues.

Conceptual categories: the studies bunched into three primary themes: remote working, psychological challenges, and the strategic role of managers. The findings were produced to address two main research questions regarding the importance of remote working, the

challenges it faces, the decision-making of managers, and strategies to ensure fairness.

Findings of the Research

The study exposed several distinguished conclusions about the incorporation of remote working concepts into the strategic decision-making of the firm.

Effective Leadership Strategies in a Remote Work Setting

Some conclusions revealed that remote workers struggle with loneliness, highlighting the need for leaders to actively engage and communicate with their team members. Establishing structured, clear communication channels is vital. Executing regular virtual team meetings, utilizing collaboration tools, and providing timely feedback are key strategies suggested to foster a sense of connection and belonging among remote team members. Effective remote leaders prioritize building strong relationships with their team members. Implementing virtual team-building activities and recognizing individual attainments can help boost team morale and motivation, ultimately driving productivity. By leveraging technology to support and empower remote employees, leaders can create a positive work environment that promotes collaboration, trust, and overall success in remote work settings.

Future Outlook: The Evolution of Organizational Psychology in the Era of Remote Work

In the face of the rapid growth of remote work, the field of organizational psychology is experiencing a substantial growth to address the unique challenges and opportunities presented via this novel era. According to a recent survey conducted by the American Psychological Association, 72% of firms have shifted to remote work arrangements due to the COVID-19 pandemic. This shift has sparked increased interest in considering how to effectively manage remote teams, promote employee well-being, and maintain structural culture in a virtual atmosphere.

Further, scholars have suggested that organizations that invest in training programs focused on remote work skills see a substantial development in employee satisfaction and productivity. These emerging trends specify a promising future for the evolution of organizational psychology in the era of remote work, where data-driven strategies and behavioral understandings will play a critical role in shaping the workplace of tomorrow.

Further, the shift towards remote work has significantly impacted organizational psychology in various ways. The virtual work setting has brought to light the importance

of employee well-being, motivation, and communication within establishments. It has also challenged traditional understandings of teamwork, leadership, and organizational culture, encouraging investigators and practitioners to discover new approaches to foster productivity and engagement among remote employees.

Also, the long-term effects of remote work on organizational psychology remain to be seen as organizations continue to adapt to this new way of working. As we navigate this era of remote work, it becomes crucial for administrations to prioritize maintaining a positive and supportive virtual work situation to ensure the psychological well-being and overall success of their employees. By integrating insights from organizational psychology into remote work practices, organizations can effectively address the challenges and opportunities that come with this growing work landscape.

Recommendations for Administrations

Firms or companies can implement numerous strategies to alleviate the psychological jeopardies allied with remote work,

- Offering access to mental health support and counseling can help employees cope with stress and anxiety.
- Enabling virtual team-building events and informal check-ins can help lessen feelings of isolation.
- Inspiring employees to set exact work hours and create dedicated workspaces can help maintain a healthy work-life balance.
- Permitting employees to have flexible schedules can help them manage personal responsibilities together with work obligations.

Some key strategies for managers to consider while managing a virtual workplace.

- Establish clear communication protocols to set potentials for how and when team members should communicate. Use precise tools for different communication purposes to lessen confusion and keep everyone on the same page.
- Set clear metrics for performance evaluation to ensure everyone is united and working towards the same objectives, even when physically detached.
- Implement appropriate remote work policies to ensure distant work operates smoothly and efficiently.
- Leaders' digital communication emerges as the primary competency, along with goal management, support, and empowerment.

Limitations of the Study

Several limitations were faced during the review process. Those were described under scope of literature, focus on remote working, lack of empirical validation, cultural and

regional diversity, rapid technological changes and ethical and legal context variability .

The conclusions result from a systematic review of academic sources, which may not capture all emerging viewpoints or unpublished industry practices. Future study could expand the scope to include older literature and practical case studies.

The study mainly focuses on remote work setups in establishments as a representative of modern work settings. However, other dilemmas of the current period could possess unique functionalities and limitations that also merit examination.

In addition, this study is primarily conceptual and based on literature, absent direct empirical validation through surveys, interviews, or case studies. Additional empirical studies are necessary to settle the proposed hybrid framework in actual administrative atmospheres.

Further, while the paper briefly mentions global implications, it doesn't thoroughly examine region- specific factors that could influence remote working setups in organizations across various cultural or regulatory frameworks.

Due to the swift advancement in technologies, the working environment may change speedily, which could render some observations or recommendations outmoded.

At last, legal frameworks and ethical standards are vary widely across different jurisdictions, making it difficult to put forth universally applicable guidelines without local modifications. These restrictions generate avenues for future research to empirically evaluate the effects of remote working on psychological issues, explore cross- cultural implementation approaches, and formulate adaptable ethical guidelines for its power.

Conclusion

The move to remote work has brought about substantial mental challenges for employees, together with increased stress, anxiety, and feelings of isolation. The strategic role of managers in managing a virtual workplace comprises adapting to the unique challenges and opportunities presented by remote work. With proper administrative support and strategies, it is possible to enhance employee well-being and create a sustainable remote work atmosphere. Addressing these psychological issues is crucial for sustained productivity and job fulfillment in the growing business landscape.

References

1. Aksoy, C. G., Barrero, J. M., Bloom, N., Davis, S. J., Dolls, M., and Zarate, P. (2023). *Working from home around the globe: 2023 report*. EconPol Policy Brief. Available at: https://www.econpol.eu/publications/policy_brief_53 (accessed December 10, 2024).

2. Alkhayyal, S., and Bajaba, S. (2023). The impact of e-leadership competencies on workplace well-being and job performance: the mediating role of e-work self-efficacy. *Sustainability* 15:4724. doi: 10.3390/su15064724
3. Anderson, D., & Kelliher, C. (2020). Enforced remote working and the work-life interface during lockdown. *Gender in Management: An International Journal*, 35(7/8), 677–683. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19073799>.
4. Anderson, A. J., Kaplan, S. A., & Vega, R. P. (2015). The impact of telework on emotional experience: When, and for whom, does telework improve daily affective well-being? *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 24(6), 882–897. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1359432X.2014.966086>.
5. Arora, P., and Suri, D. (2020). Redefining, relooking, redesigning, and reincorporating HRD in the post covid 19 context and thereafter. *Hum. Resour. Dev. Int.* 23, 438–451. doi: 10.1080/13678868.2020.1780077
6. Avolio, B. J., Kahai, S., and Dodge, G. E. (2000). E-leadership: implications for theory, research, and practice. *Leadersh. Q.* 11, 615–668. doi: 10.1016/S1048-9843(00)00062-
7. Baert, S., Lippens, L., Moens, E., Weytjens, J., and Sterkens, P. (2020). *The COVID-19 crisis and telework: A research survey on experiences, expectations and hopes*. IZA Discussion Paper No. 13229. doi: 10.2139/ssrn.3596696.
8. Banks, G. C., Dionne, S. D., Mast, M. S., and Sayama, H. (2022). Leadership in the digital era: a review of who, what, when, where, and why. *Leadersh. Q.* 33:101634. doi: 10.1016/j.leaqua.2022.101634
9. Beauregard, T., Basile, K. A., and Canónico, E. (2019). “Telework: outcomes and facilitators for employees,” in *The Cambridge Handbook of Technology and Employee Behavior*, ed. R. N. Landers (Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press), 511–543. doi: 10.1017/9781108649636.020
10. Berg, J., Furrer, M., Harmon, E., et al. (2018). Digital Labour Platforms and the Future of Work Towards Decent Work in the Online World. Geneva: ILO.
11. Bondarouk, T., and Brewster, C. (2016). Conceptualising the future of HRM and technology research. *Int. J. Hum. Resour. Manag.* 27, 2652–2671. doi: 10.1080/09585192.2016.1232296
12. Brown, M. A. (2021). *Analyzing Telework, Trustworthiness, and Performance Using Leader-Member Exchange: COVID-19 Perspective*. New York: IGI Global. doi: 10.4018/978-1-7998-8950-2
13. Carnevale, J. B., and Hatak, I. (2020). Employee adjustment and well-being in the era of COVID-19: implications for human resource management. *J. Bus. Res.* 116, 183–187. doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.05.037.
14. Di Fabio, A., and Peiró, J. M. (2018). Human capital sustainability leadership to promote sustainable development and healthy organizations: a new scale. *Sustainability* 10:2413. doi: 10.3390/su10072413.

15. Egami, S. (2025). Effect of intensive parenting attitude on maternal well-being and self-efficacy among Japanese housewives and part-time working mothers. *Psychology International*, 7(2), 47.
16. Galanti, T., Guidetti, G., Mazzei, E., Zappalà, S., and Toscano, F. (2021). Work from home during the COVID-19 outbreak: the impact on employees' remote work productivity, engagement, and stress. *J. Occup. Environ. Med.* 63, e426–e432. doi: 10.1097/JOM.0000000000002236.
17. Gabaglio, E., Jacobs, G., Bonetti, A., & Plassman, R. (2002). *Framework agreement on teleworks* (issue brief).
18. Henke, J. B., Jones, S. K., & O'Neill, T. A. (2022). Skills and abilities to thrive in remote work: What have we learned. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 893895.
19. Ipsen, C., Kirchner, K., Andersone, N., and Karanika-Murray, M. (2022). Becoming a distance manager: managerial experiences, perceived organisational support, and job satisfaction during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Front. Psychol.* 13, 916234. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.91623
20. Kniffin, K. M., Narayanan, J., Anseel, F., Antonakis, J., Ashford, S. P., Bakker, A. B., et al. (2021). COVID-19 and the workplace: implications, issues, and insights for future research and action. *Am. Psychol.* 76, 63. doi: 10.1037/amp0000716.
21. Koutra, K., Mavroeides, G., & Triliva, S. (2025). The costs of caring: Prevalence and associated factors of burnout, compassion fatigue, and compassion satisfaction among mental health professionals in Greece. *Psychology International*, 7(3), 58.
22. Koopmans, L., Bernaards, C. M., Hildebrandt, V. H., Schaufeli, W. B., De Vet, H. C., and Van Der Beek, A. J. (2011). Conceptual frameworks of individual work performance: A systematic review. *J. Occupat. Environ. Med.* 53, 856–866. doi: 10.1097/JOM.0b013e318226a763.
23. Koopmans, L., Coffeng, J., Bernaards, C., Boot, C. R. L., Hildebrandt, V., Vet, H. C., et al. (2014). Responsiveness of the individual work performance questionnaire. *BMC Public Health* 14, 1–11. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-14-513
24. Kowal, M., Coll-Martín, T., Ikize, G., Rasmussen, J., Eichel, K., Studzińska, A., et al. (2020). Who is the most stressed during the COVID-19 pandemic? Data from 26 countries and areas. *Appl. Psychol. Health WellBeing* 12, 946–966. doi: 10.1111/aphw.12234.
25. Kozlowski, S. W., Chao, G. T., and Van Fossen, J. (2021). Leading virtual teams. *Organ. Dyn.* 50:100842. doi: 10.1016/j.orgdyn.2021.100842
26. Liao, M., Li, S., and Liu, H. (2024). The impact mechanism of telework on job performance: a cross-level moderation model of digital leadership. *Sci. Rep.* 14:12520. doi: 10.1038/s41598-024-63518-6
27. Lojeski, K. S., and Reilly, R. R. (2020). *The Power of Virtual Distance: A Guide to Productivity and Happiness in the Age of Remote Work*. New York: John Wiley and Sons.

28. Loon, M., Otaye-Ebede, L., & Stewart, J. (2019). The paradox of employee psychological well-being practices: An integrative literature review and new directions for research. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 30(1), 156–187. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2018.1479877>
29. Ng, M. A., Naranjo, A., Schlotzhauer, A. E., Shoss, M. K., Kartvelishvili, N., Bartek, M., Ingraham, K., Rodriguez, A., Schneider, S. K., Silverlieb-Seltzer, L., & Silva, C. (2021). Has the COVID-19 Pandemic Accelerated the Future of Work or Changed Its Course? Implications for Research and Practice. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18, Article 10199. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph181910199>.
30. Oakman, J., Kinsman, N., Stuckey, R., Graham, M., & Weale, V. (2020). A rapid review of mental and physical health effects of working at home: How do we optimise health? *BMC Public Health*, 20, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-020-09875>.
31. Offstein E. H. Morwick J. M. and Koskinen L. (2010). Making telework work: leading people and leveraging technology for competitive advantage. *Strat. HR Rev.* 9, 32–37. doi: 10.1108/14754391011022244
32. Peiró, J. M., and Martínez-Tur, V. (2022). ‘Digitalized’ competences. A crucial challenge beyond digital competences. *Rev. Psicol. Trabajo Organ.* 38, 189–199. doi: 10.5093/jwop2022a22
33. Philips, S. (2020). Working through the pandemic: Accelerating the transition to remote working. *Business Information Review*, 37(3), 129–134. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0266382120953087>
34. Parker, L. D. (2020). The COVID-19 office in transition: Cost, efficiency and the social responsibility business case. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 33(8), 1943–1967. <https://doi.org/10.1108/AAAJ-06-2020-4609>
35. Prikhidko, A., Long, H., and Wheaton, M. G. (2020) The effect of concerns about COVID-19 on anxiety, stress, parental burnout, and emotion regulation: the role of susceptibility to digital emotion contagion. *Front. Public Health* 8, 567250. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2020.567250
36. Reisberger, T., & Copuš, L. (2025). Framework for remote work: Distances and resources clustering approach. In *Lecture notes on data engineering and communications technologies* (Vol. 253, pp. 323–334). Springer.
37. Rocco, T. S., Plakhotnik, M. S., McGill, C. M., Huyler, D., and Collins, J. C. (2023). Conducting and writing a structured literature review in human resource development. *Hum. Resour. Dev. Rev.* 22, 104–125. doi: 10.1177/15344843221141515.
38. Schwab, K. (2015) “The Fourth Industrial Revolution”. *Foreign Affairs*. Available online at: <https://www.foreignaffairs.com/world/fourth-industrial-revolution> (accessed October 15, 2022).
39. Shirmohammadi, M., Chan Au, W., and Beigi, M. (2022). Antecedents and outcomes of work-life balance while working from home: a review of the research conducted

- during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Hum. Resour. Dev. Rev.* 21, 473–516. doi: 10.1177/15344843221125834
40. Spagnoli, P., Molino, M., Molinaro, D., Giancaspro, M. L., Manuti, A., Ghislieri, C., et al. (2020). Workaholism and technostress during the COVID-19 emergency: the crucial role of the leaders on remote working. *Front. Psychol.* 11, 620310. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.620310
41. Solmi, M., et.al (2022). The collaborative outcomes study on health and functioning during infection times in adults (COH-FIT-Adults): Design and methods of an international online survey targeting physical and mental health effects of the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 299, 393–407. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2021.07.048>
42. Staniulienė, S., & Jurova, J. (2021). Remote job design possibilities to work in lithuanian companies from distant locations. *Research for Rural Development*, 36.
43. Sull, D., Sull, C., & Zweig, B. (2022). Toxic culture is driving the great resignation. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, 63(2), 1–9.
44. Tan, L. D. C., & Urdan, T. (2025). Exploring the responsibilities, boundaries, and well-being of teachers in the philippines. *Psychology International*, 7(1), 14.
45. Van Zoonen, W., Sivunen, A., & Rice, R. E. (2020). Boundary communication: How smartphone use after hours is associated with work-life conflict and organizational identification. *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, 48(3), 372–392. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00909882.2020.1755050>
46. Waight, C. L., Nery-Kjerfve, T., Kite, A., and Smith, B. C. (2022). Connecting and relating in Brazil: implications of remote work. *Hum. Resour. Dev. Int.* 25, 231–253. doi: 10.1080/13678868.2022.2048435.
47. Wheatley, D., Hardill, I., and Buglass, S. (2021) Preface: The rapid expansion of remote working in Wheatley, D., Hardill, I. and Buglass, S. *Handbook of Research on Remote Work and Worker Well-Being in the Post-COVID-19 Era*. IGI Global, xix-xxxiii
48. World Economic Forum (2023). *The Future of Jobs Report 2023*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Economic Forum.
49. World Health Organization. (2001). *The role of the occupational health nurse in workplace health management*. WHO Regional Office for Europe.

